UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,449	09/21/2001	Troy Holland	BioCure 161	5786

44260 7590 10/03/2006

LAW OFFICE OF COLLEN A. BEARD, LLC
P. O. BOX 1064
DECATUR, GA 30031-1064

EXAMINER	
GHALI, ISIS A D	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/960,449	HOLLAND ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Isis Ghali	1615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *07/06/2006*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-4,8-11,13-17,21-23,25 and 27-29* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-4,8-11,13-17,21-23,25 and 27-29* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

The receipt is acknowledged of applicants' appeal brief filed 04/10/2006 and supplemental appeal brief filed 07/06/2006.

The finality of the office action mailed 10/27/2005 has been withdrawn.

Claims 1-4, 8-11, 13-17, 21-23, 25 and 27-29 are included in the prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-4, 8-11, 13-17, 21-23, 25 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The recitation of "the initiator not bound to another polymer" has introduced new matter situation that was not described in the specification as originally filed. Nowhere in the specification

applicants have disclosed initiator not bound to another polymer or even disclosed any polymer other than the macromer in the hydrogel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1, 2, 8, 9 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,007,833 (833).

The scope of claims 1 and 29 is liquid composition comprising water soluble PVA having one or more pendant crosslinkable acrylamide groups. The intended use of the composition for spray delivery is not given weight in a claim directed to a composition.

US '833 teaches a hydrogel wound dressing that is applied to the wound site as a liquid composition and forms flexible polymeric matrix upon exposure to light, i.e. hydrogel formed *in situ* (col.10, lines 1-6). The hydrogel composition comprising crosslinkable macromer includes two or more polymer pendant polymerizable group (abstract). The macromer includes water-soluble polymer, i.e. degradable, as polyvinyl alcohol; and acrylamide as a pendant polymerizable group (col.5, lines 25-30, 47-53). Acrylamide groups contain olefinically unsaturated groups. The hydrogel comprises therapeutic agent including growth factor, antimicrobial agent and antithrombotic agent (col.10, lines 11-12, 35-37). On col. 15, lines 28-31 of US '833, the reference teaches that the initiator can be polymer-bound or non-polymer bound solution.

However, US '833 teaches that the polymer-bound initiator forms matrices more rapidly and more completely than the non-polymer-bound initiator when exposed to light energy.

The disclosed examples and preferred embodiment do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. *In re Susi*, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971). In considering the disclosure of the reference, it is proper to take into account not only the specific teachings of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom. *In re Preda*, 401 F.2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342, 344 (CCPA 1968). The reason or

motivation to modify the reference may often suggest what the inventor has done, but for a different purpose or to solve different problem. It is not necessary that the prior art suggest the combination or modification to achieve the same advantage or result discovered by applicant. *In re Linter*, 458 F.2d 1013, 173 USPQ 560 (CCPA 1972).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a hydrogel composition comprising crosslinkable PVA macromer having one or more polymer pendant polymerizable group of acrylamide as disclosed by US '833, and use the non-polymer-bound initiator as disclosed by the reference since the non-polymer-bound initiator disclosed to be slower in initiating matrices forming when exposed to light energy, and applicants desired to delay the matrices formation till the composition is sprayed, with reasonable expectation to have hydrogel composition comprising crosslinkable PVA macromer includes one or more polymer pendant polymerizable group of acrylamide and cross-linking initiator that is non-polymer-bound to provide delayed cross-linking when exposed to light until the hydrogel is used.

6. Claims 3, 4, 10, 11, 13-17, 21-23 25, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,007,833 ('833) in view of US 6,179,862 ('682).

US '833 teaches a hydrogel wound dressing that is applied to the wound site as a liquid composition and forms flexible polymeric matrix upon exposure to light, i.e. hydrogel formed *in situ* (col.10, lines 1-6). The hydrogel composition comprising crosslinkable macromer includes two or more polymer pendant polymerizable group

(abstract). The macromer includes water-soluble polymer, i.e. degradable, as polyvinyl alcohol; and acrylamide as a pendant polymerizable group (col.5, lines 25-30, 47-53). Acrylamide groups contain olefinically unsaturated groups. The hydrogel comprises therapeutic agent including growth factor and antimicrobial agent (col.10, lines 11-12). On col. 15, lines 28-31 of US '833, the reference teaches that the initiator can be polymer-bound or non-polymer bound solution.

US '833 does not teach the composition is delivered by spray as claimed in claims 3, 4, 14-17, 21-22. The reference does not teach the active agent as NO as claimed in claims 10 and 23, or the redox irradiation as claimed in claims 13 and 25. The reference does not teach the dressing debrides the wound when removed as claimed in claim 12.

However, US '833 teaches the liquid delivery of the composition without excluding or specifying any method of delivery, thus the spraying the liquid composition into the wound is inclusive in the reference teaching. The reference also teaches the delivery of antithrombotic drugs at the site of application, and this is inclusive to NO, and one having ordinary skill in the art would have determined the antithrombotic agent to use according to the specific patient condition. The reference further teaches the UV irradiation to initiate polymerization. The reference disclosed that the wound dressing formed is very well adheres to the wound site, and it is expected upon its removal to debride the wound.

US '862 disclosed method and composition for forming *in situ* tissue adherent barrier using sprayer to apply cross-linkable two components to the tissue that enable to

form coating on the tissue surface (abstract; col.1, lines 48-51, 65-67; col.2, lines 1-8). When the sprayer is activated, the emergent spray contacts tissue, resulting in mixing and cross-linking of the components to form coating, e.g. hydrogel, on the tissue surface (col.2, lines 5-9). The components are in the form of solution and comprise water-soluble, crosslinkable, biodegradable macromers (col.2, lines 19-34; col.7, lines 24-30). The hydrogel formation is initiated by redox irradiation to form coating (col.4, lines 24-27; col.6, lines 3-5).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a hydrogel composition comprising crosslinkable PVA macromer includes one or more polymer pendant polymerizable group of acrylamide as disclosed by US '833 and deliver the composition by spraying and use redox for crosslinking as disclosed by US '862, motivated by the teaching of US '862 that the spraying on the tissue surface followed by redox irradiation enable to form a wound coating, with reasonable expectation of having a hydrogel composition comprising crosslinkable macromer includes one or more polymer pendant polymerizable group that is delivered from sprayer and polymerized by redox irradiation that enables to protect the wound and initiate wound healing with success.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isis Ghali
Examiner
Art Unit 1615

IG

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600